

<b><u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION</u></b>	Application #	10/693,955
	Confirmation #	3799
	Filing Date	October 28, 2003
	First Inventor	LAURIE
	Art Unit	1615
	Examiner	Tcherkasskaya, Olga V.
	Docket #	P07351US01/SJW

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Office Action mailed August 18, 2011, Applicants submit the following Request for Reconsideration.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10-15 and 17-20 are pending in the present application, wherein claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 13 and 15 are allowed, and claims 11, 12, 14 and 17-20 stand rejected. Applicants respectfully submit that, in view of the foregoing discussion and the contemporaneously filed Terminal Disclaimers, all claims are in condition for allowance.

Response to the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejection

Claims 11, 12, 14 and 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Howard (U.S. Patent No. 4,335,116) (hereinafter "Howard"), in view of Baran et al. (J. Braz. Chem. Soc. (2002) 13(5):576:582) (hereinafter "Baran").

Contrary to the rejection, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 11, 12, 14 and 17-20 are not obvious from Howard, in view of Baran, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as